

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
ATECATION NO.	TIENIG DATE	THEST WASHED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
10/049,239	02/11/2002	Nobuya Kitaguchi	07241.0017	9539
7.	7590 09/14/2004 EXA		INER	
Finnegan Henderson Farabow			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
Garrett & Duni	ner			
1300 I Street N W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			1743	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\cap \cap$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1,0		
Office Action Summary		10/049,239	KITAGUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lyle A Alexander	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: . cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	unication.		
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	uno 2004				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.4-7 and 22-74 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32-44.73 and 74 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.4-7.22-31 and 45-72 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from considera	lion.			
Applicat	on Papers	•	•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance, ion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •		
				102.		
12) [a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge		
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/04.		mary (PTO-413) iail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/049,239

Art Unit: 1743

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1,4-7,22-31,45-72, drawn to an analyzing cartridge.

Group II, claim(s) 32-44, drawn to a method of producing an analyzing cartridge.

Group III, claim(s) 73-74, drawn to a method of analysis.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The analyzing cartridge of group I can be made by a different method than claimed in group II and can perform a different method of analysis than claimed in group III.

Newly submitted claims 32-44 and 73-74 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: See the above grouping of the claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 32-44 and 73-73 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no section "Brief Description of the Drawings".

Application/Control Number: 10/049,239

Art Unit: 1743

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,4-7,22-31 and 45-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Anderson et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 2/5/04 Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/7/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the second full paragraph on page 24 of the 6/7/04 remarks, Applicants state Anderson et al. uses the taught reservoirs for the mixing of fluids. These remarks are directed to the method of intended use which is of no patentable moment to the pending apparatus claims as long as the same elements are taught (see <u>In re Casey</u> 152 USPQ 235). The Office maintains Anderson et al. teaches reservoirs that are indistinguishable from the instant claims and has been properly applied.

In the third full paragraph on page 24 of the 6/7/04 remarks, Applicants state

Anderson et al. fails to teach a partially non-fluid reagent. Again, the Office notes the
method of intended use (e.g. the type of reagent used) of an apparatus is of is of no
patentable moment to the pending apparatus claims as long as the same elements are
taught. Anderson et al. clearly teaches means to contain a reagent and has been
properly applied here. Even if Applicants changed the claim language to specify means

Application/Control Number: 10/049,239

Art Unit: 1743

to contain a partially non-fluid reagent, the court decided In re Otto et al. (136 USPQ 458) that the material upon which an apparatus act cannot be used to impart patentability to the apparatus. In this case the material upon which the apparatus acts is the sample and the sample (fluid or non-fluid) cannot be used to impart patentability to the apparatus.

Applicants' submission of an abstract of JP 08233778 is appreciated and has been considered. The remainders of the references have not been considered because no translation or characterization has been supplied.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743
